

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 RAJ CHRISTOPHER GUPTA,

12 Petitioner,

2:02-cv-1421-GEB-JFM-P

13 vs.

14 EDWARD ALAMEIDA, et al.,

15 Respondents.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with
18 an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
19 § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
20 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General
21 Order No. 262.

22 On September 13, 2005, the magistrate judge filed
23 findings and recommendations herein which were served on all
24 parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
25 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed
26

1 within ten days. Petitioner has filed objections to the findings
2 and recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The findings and recommendations filed September
10 13, 2005, are adopted in full; and

11 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas
12 corpus is dismissed.

13 Dated: October 24, 2005

14
15 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
16 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26